UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Israel Husarsky, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 1:20-cv-3323

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

-V.-

Capital Management Services, L.P., and John Does 1-25.

Defendants.

Plaintiff Israel Husarsky (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint by and through his attorneys, Stein Saks PLLC, against Defendant Capital Management Services, L.P. (hereinafter "Capital"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("the FDCPA') in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect

consumers," and that "'the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws ·were inadequate. *Id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq.
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as this is where the Plaintiff resides as well as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers under § 1692, *et seq.* of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
 - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Queens, residing at 8411 117th St. Richmond Hill, NY 11418.

- 8. Defendant Capital is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 698 ½ South Ogden Street Buffalo, NY 14206.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Capital is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 12. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of New York;
 - b. to whom Defendant Capital sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. that states that the amount due may increase due to "other charges," without any explanation of the other charges;
 - d. as well as states that the balance due may increase without delineating whether it is the minimum amount due or the overall balance that may will go up after payment;
 - e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (2l) days after the filing of this action.

- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communication to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692f.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

- b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communication to consumers, in the forms **attached as Exhibit A**, violate 15 § 1692e and §1692f.
- c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Some time prior to June 5, 2020, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Barclays Bank Delaware.
- 22. The obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, of which the subject transactions, were incurred for personal purposes, specifically a Barclays Bank credit card, used for these personal purchases.
- 23. The alleged Barclays Bank Delaware obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a (5).
 - 24. Barclays Bank Delaware is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a (4).
- 25. Barclays Bank Delaware contracted with the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.

26. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

June 5, 2020 Collection Letter

- 27. On or about June 5, 2020, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Barclays Bank Delaware. See Letter attached as Exhibit A.
- 28. The collection letter states: "Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the balance due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the balance in full shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your check."
- 29. The Defendant's Letter does not explain whether the "adjustment that may be necessary" is to be applied to the "Minimum Payment Due," or the "total Amount of Debt," which are stated as \$772.87 and \$32,687.90, respectively.
- 30. Defendant's Letter unfairly confuses the Plaintiff as to where the adjustment may be applied, thus leaving Plaintiff with an unclear picture of which amount may be increasing and the total payments necessary for either category to be satisfied.
- 31. Additionally, Defendant's letter does not explain the term "other charges" and Plaintiff has no way of determining what the "other charges" may be.
- 32. Plaintiff has no basis to determine what "other charges" could affect his balance day to day besides interest and late fees.
- 33. Defendant misleads and deceives Plaintiff into the belief that there are "other charges" which will possibly increase the daily balance when there are no other charges.

- 34. If Defendant is aware of "other charges" that would lead to an increase in the balance, Defendant should clarify and explain them in the letter.
- 35. This statement from the Defendant is a threat to collect an amount that is not provided in the contract or by law.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and false debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 37. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 38. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 39. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 40. Defendant violated said section by:
 - a. Failing to explain if the adjustment may be applied to the minimum payment or total amount of the debt;
 - b. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of but not limited to §1692e (10).
- 41. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e, *et seq.* of the FDCPA and is entitled to actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692f et seq.

- 42. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 43. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 44. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, a debt collector may not use any unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 45. Defendant violated this section by
 - a. unfairly stating that the balance may increase due to "other charges", when no other charges are allowed by contract or law;
 - b. by failing to delineate to which amount the "adjustment" will be applied.
- 46. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692f, *et seq.* of the FDCPA and is entitled to actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

47. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Israel Husarsky, individually and on behalf of all others

similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendant Capital Management Services, LP as

follows:

1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and

certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and David P. Force, Esq. as Class Counsel;

2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and

expenses;

5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may

deem just and proper.

Dated: July 23, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

<u>/s/ David P. Force</u>

By: David P. Force, Esq.

Stein Saks PLLC

285 Passaic Street

Hackensack, NJ 07601

Phone: (201) 282-6500 ext. 107

Fax: (201) 282-6501

dforce@steinsakslegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff